Chaos in Superstring Cosmology
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It is shown that the general solution near a spacelike singularity of the Einstein-dilaton-p-form field equations relevant to superstring theories and M-theory exhibits an oscillatory behaviour of the Belinskii-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz type. String dualities play a significant role in the analysis.

An outstanding result in theoretical cosmology has been the discovery by Belinskii, Khalatnikov and Lifshitz (BKL) that the generic solution of the four-dimensional Einstein’s vacuum equations near a cosmological singularity exhibits a never ending oscillatory behaviour \(^\square\). The oscillatory approach toward the singularity has a character of a random process, whose chaotic nature has been intensively studied \(^\square\). However, two results cast a doubt on the physical applicability, to our universe, of the BKL picture. First, it was surprisingly found that the chaotic BKL oscillatory behaviour disappears from the generic solution near a spacelike singularity \(^\square\). Second, it was proved that the generic solution of the four-dimensional Einstein-scalar equations also exhibits a non-oscillatory, power-law behaviour \(^\square,\square\).

Superstring theory \(^\square\) suggests that the massless (bosonic) degrees of freedom which can be generically excited near a cosmological singularity correspond to a high-dimension \((D = 10\ or\ 11)\) Kaluza-Klein-type model containing, in addition to Einstein’s \(D\)-dimensional gravity, several other fields (scalars, vectors and/or forms). In view of the results quoted above, it is a priori unclear whether the full field content of superstring theory will imply, as generic cosmological solution, a chaotic BKL-like behaviour, or a monotonic Kasner-like one. In this letter we report the result that the massless bosonic content of all superstring models \((D = 10\ IA, IIB, I,\ het_E,\ het_{SO})\), as well as of \(M\)-theory \((D = 11\ supergravity)\), generically implies a chaotic BKL-like oscillatory behaviour near a cosmological singularity. Our analysis applies at scales large enough to excite all Kaluza-Klein-type modes, but small enough to be able to neglect the stringy and non-perturbative massive states. It is the presence of various form fields (e.g. the three form in SUGRA\(_{11}\)) which provides the crucial source of this generic oscillatory behaviour.

Let us consider a model of the general form

\[
S = \int d^Dx \sqrt{g}R(g) - \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - \sum_p \frac{1}{(p + 1)!} c^p \varphi (dA_p)^2 . \tag{1}
\]

Here, the spacetime dimension \(D\) is left unspecified. We work (as a convenient common formulation) in the Einstein conformal frame, and we normalize the kinetic term of the “dilaton” \(\varphi\) with a weight 1 with respect to the Ricci scalar. The integer \(p \geq 0\) labels the various form fields \((e.g.\ the\ three\ form\ in\ SUGRA_{11})\) which provides the crucial source of this generic oscillatory behaviour.
\[ g_{\mu \nu} \, dx^\mu \, dx^\nu \simeq -dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} t^{2p_i(x)} \, (\omega^i)^2 , \quad (2a) \]

\[ \varphi \simeq p_\varphi(x) \, \ln t + \psi(x) , \quad (2b) \]

where \( d \equiv D-1 \) denotes the spatial dimension and where \( \omega^i(x) = e^i_j(x) \, dx^j \) is a time-independent \( d \)-bein. The spatially dependent Kasner exponents \( p_i(x) \), \( p_\varphi(x) \) must satisfy the famous Kasner constraints (modified by the presence of the dilaton):

\[ Q(p) \equiv p_\varphi^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i \right)^2 = 0 , \quad (3a) \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i = 1 . \quad (3b) \]

The set of parameters satisfying Eqs. (3) is topologically a \((d-1)\)-dimensional sphere: the “Kasner sphere”. When the dilaton is absent, one must set \( p_\varphi \) to zero in Eq. (3a). In that case the dimension of the Kasner sphere is \( d-2 = D-3 \).

The approximate solution Eqs. (3) is obtained by neglecting in the field equations for \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and \( \varphi \): (i) the effect of the spatial derivatives of \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and \( \varphi \), and (ii) the contributions of the various \( p \)-form fields \( A_p \). The condition for the “stability” of the solution (2), i.e. for the absence of BKL oscillations at \( t \to 0 \), is that the inclusion in the field equations of the discarded contributions (i) and (ii) (computed within the assumption (3)) be fractionally negligible as \( t \to 0 \). As usual, the fractional effect of the spatial derivatives of \( \varphi \) is found to be negligible, while the fractional effect (with respect to the leading terms, which are \( \propto t^{-2} \)) of the spatial derivatives of the metric, i.e. the quantities \( t^2 \, p_i \) (where \( p_i \) denotes the \( d \)-dimensional Ricci tensor) contains only “dangerous terms” when \( t \to 0 \) a sum of terms \( \propto t^{2p_i} \), where the gravitational exponents \( g_{ijk} (i \neq j, \neq k, \neq j \neq k) \) are the following combinations of the Kasner exponents (3):

\[ g_{ijk}(p) = 2p_i + \sum_{\ell \neq i,j,k} p_\ell = 1 + p_i - p_j - p_k . \quad (4) \]

The “gravitational” stability condition is that all the exponents \( g_{ijk}(p) \) be positive. In the presence of form fields \( A_p \) there are additional stability conditions related to the contributions of the form fields to the Einstein-dilaton equations. They are obtained by solving, à la BKL, the \( p \)-form field equations in the background (2) and then estimating the corresponding “dangerous” terms in the Einstein field equations. When neglecting the spatial derivatives in the Maxwell equations in first-order form \( dF = 0 \) and \( \delta (e^{\lambda_\psi} \, \varphi \, F) = 0 \), where \( \delta = \ast d \ast \) is the (Hodge) dual of the Cartan differential \( d \) and \( F_{p+1} = dA_p \), one finds that both the “electric” components \( \sqrt{g} \, e^{\lambda_\psi} \, \varphi \, F^{0i_1 \ldots i_p} \), and the “magnetic” components \( F_{ij} \ldots F_{ip+1} \), are constant in time. Combining this information with the approximate results (2) one can estimate the fractional effect of the \( p \)-form contributions in the right-hand-side of the \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and \( \varphi \)-field equations, i.e. the quantities \( t^2 T_{(A)p} \) and \( t^2 T_{(A)j} \) where \( T_{(A)p} \) denotes the stress-energy tensor of the \( p \)-form. [As usual (3) the mixed terms \( T_{(A)pj} \), which enter the momentum constraints play a rather different role and do not need to be explicitly considered.] Finally, one gets as “dangerous” terms when \( t \to 0 \) a sum of “electric” contributions \( \propto t^{2p_{ij} \ldots p_{j-1} \ldots p_{i-1}} \) and of “magnetic” ones \( \propto t^{2p_{ij} \ldots [\ldots d-p_{i-1} \ldots j]p_{i-1}} \). Here, the electric exponents \( e^{(p)}_{i_1 \ldots i_p} \) (where all the indices \( i_n \) are different) are defined as

\[ e^{(p)}_{i_1 \ldots i_p} (p) = p_{i_1} + p_{i_2} + \cdots + p_{i_p} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_p p_\varphi , \quad (5) \]

while the magnetic exponents \( b^{(p)}_{j_1 \ldots j_d-p-1} \) (where all the indices \( j_n \) are different) are

\[ b^{(p)}_{j_1 \ldots j_d-p-1} (p) = p_{j_1} + p_{j_2} + \cdots + p_{j_d-p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_p p_\varphi . \quad (6) \]

To each \( p \)-form is associated a (duality-invariant) double family of “stability” exponents \( e^{(p)} \), \( b^{(p)} \). The “electric” (respectively “magnetic”) stability condition is that all the exponents \( e^{(p)} \) (respectively \( b^{(p)} \)) be positive. This result generalizes the results of (3) on the effect of vector fields in \( D = 4 \).

The main result reported here is that, for all superstring models, there exists no open region of the Kasner sphere where all the stability exponents \( g(p) \), \( e(p) \), \( b(p) \) are strictly positive. To define the set of stability conditions for the various superstring models, let us review their field content and give the values of the crucial dilaton couplings \( \lambda_p \). The simplest case is the massless bosonic sector of \( M \)-theory, i.e. of SUGRA in \( D = 11 \). In that case, there is a 3-form and no dilaton. The parameters \( p^M_{\alpha} \), \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, 10 \), run over the 8-dimensional sphere \( S^8_{M} \) defined by \( \sum_\alpha (p^M_{\alpha})^2 = 1 = \sum_\alpha p^M_{\alpha} \). The presence of a 3-form \( A_3 \) uncoupled to any dilaton implies that the electric and magnetic stability exponents are respectively given by (2) and (3) with \( p = 3 \), \( \lambda_p = 0 \) and \( d = 10 \), i.e.

\[ e^{(3)}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} = p^M_{\alpha_1} + p^M_{\alpha_2} + p^M_{\alpha_3} \quad \text{and} \quad b^{(3)}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} = p^M_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + p^M_{\alpha_3} . \]

The \( D = 10 \) type IIA string theory involves, besides \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and a dilaton \( \varphi = \Phi/\sqrt{2} \) (with \( g_\ast = e^{\lambda_\Phi} \) the string coupling) a 1-form, a 2-form and a 3-form. The (Einstein-frame) dilaton coupling parameters of the forms are \( \lambda_1^A = 3 \sqrt{2}/2 \), \( \lambda_2^A = -\sqrt{2} \) and \( \lambda_3^A = \sqrt{2}/2 \), respectively. Besides the dilaton Kasner exponent \( p^A_{\alpha} \), there are nine metric exponents \( p^A_{i} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, 9 \). They run over \( S^8_{A} \) defined by Eqs. (3).

The \( D = 10 \) type IIB string theory involves (besides \( g_{\mu \nu} \)): two scalars: the dilaton \( \varphi = \Phi/\sqrt{2} \) and the \( R-R \) 0-form \( C_0 \), two 2-forms \( B_2 (NS-NS) \) and \( C_2 (R-R) \), and one “self-dual” \( R-R \) 4-form \( C_4 \). The dilaton coupling
strengths of the forms are: \( \lambda_{C_{2}}^{B} = 2 \sqrt{2} \), \( \lambda_{C_{4}}^{B} = -\sqrt{2} \), \( \lambda_{C_{2}}^{c} = +\sqrt{2} \) and \( \lambda_{C_{4}}^{c} = 0 \). \( [\lambda_{C_{2}}^{B}] \) refers to the more complicated mixed coupling \( e^{\Phi} (d C_{2} - C_{2} d B_{2})^{2} \). The Kasner exponents \( p_{\alpha}^{M}, p_{\beta}^{M} \; (i = 1, \ldots, 9) \) run over \( S_{B}^{M} \) defined by Eqs. (3).

The \( D = 10 \) type I string theory involves (besides \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and \( \varphi \)): an SO(32) vector potential, and a 2-form. The dilaton couplings are \( \lambda_{1}^{I} = \sqrt{2}/2 \) and \( \lambda_{2}^{I} = +\sqrt{2} \). The Kasner exponents \( p_{\alpha}^{I}, p_{\beta}^{I} \; (i = 1, \ldots, 9) \) run, as for IIA and IIB, on the \( S_{B}^{I} \) defined by Eqs. (3).

Finally, the \( D = 10 \) heterotic string theories involve (besides \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and \( \varphi \)): an SO(32) or \( E_{8} \times E_{8} \) vector potential, and a 2-form. Their respective (Einstein-frame) dilaton couplings are: \( \lambda_{1}^{H} = -\sqrt{2}/2 \), \( \lambda_{2}^{H} = -\sqrt{2} \). The Kasner sphere \( S_{B}^{H} \) for \( p_{\alpha}^{H}, p_{\beta}^{H} \; (i = 1, \ldots, 9) \) is the same as for IIA, IIB or I.

Let us denote for each given theory “th” (where th = M, A, B, I, h labels the theory) the full (finite) sequence of stability exponents as \( w_{j}^{th}(p) \), where J labels all the possible exponents within each theory. E.g., when \( th = M \) the label J takes 690 values corresponding to the set \( \{ w_{j}^{M} \} = \{ g_{M}^{c}, e_{1}^{M}, e_{2}^{M}, \ldots, e_{690}^{M} \} \). The condition of “Kasner stability” of each theory is that there exist an open region of the corresponding Kasner sphere \( S_{B}^{th} \) where \( w_{j}^{th}(p) > 0 \) for all the labels J. However, we have proven that, for all theories, \( \inf_{j} w_{j}^{th}(p) \) is strictly negative for all values of \( p \in S_{th}^{M} \), except at a finite number of isolated points where it vanishes.

Let us first consider M-theory. We have proven a stronger result, namely, that the electric stability conditions alone are never fulfilled. If, at any point on \( S_{M}^{M} \), we order the Kasner exponents as \( p_{1}^{M} \leq p_{2}^{M} \leq \cdots \leq p_{10}^{M} \), the most stringent electric stability criterion involves \( f_{0}(p) \equiv p_{1}^{M} + p_{2}^{M} + \cdots + p_{10}^{M} \). To show that this function is non-positive on the cell \( p_{1}^{M} \leq \cdots \leq p_{10}^{M} \) of the Kasner sphere, we maximize it subject to the constraints \( \sum (p_{\alpha}^{M})^2 = 1 \), \( \sum p_{\alpha}^{M} = 1 \). These constraints can be taken into account by introducing two Lagrange multipliers. After a straightforward (but rather long) exhaustive analysis, we have found that \( f_{0}^M = 0 \), this maximum being reached only at \( p_{1} = \cdots = p_{9} = 0, p_{10} = 1 \).

To deal with the type IIA theories, we use the fact that IIA is the Kaluza-Klein (KK) reduction of M on a circle. This fact dictates the link between the field variables of the two models. If we label by the letter y the compactified dimension this link implies the following relation between the (Einstein-frame) Kasner exponents of the two theories \( (i = 1, \ldots, 9) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{2} p_{\varphi}^{M} &= 6 \sqrt{2} p_{\varphi}^{M} + p_{y}^{M}, \\
p_{i}^{M} &= 8 p_{i}^{M} + p_{y}^{M}. 
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

Forgetting about this Kaluza-Klein motivation we can consider that Eqs. (7) define a one-to-one map \( \pi_{AM} \) from \( S_{M}^{M} \) to \( S_{A}^{M} \): \( p_{\alpha}^{A} = \pi_{AM} (p_{\alpha}^{M}) \). Using this map, we have then shown that the complete set of IIA stability conditions is logically equivalent to the complete set of M stability conditions. The instability of the Kasner behaviour of M-theory proven above then implies that the Kasner behaviour of IIA is also unstable.

To deal with the type IIB theory, we use the fact that IIA and IIB are related by T-duality. The link between the field variables of the two models dictated by T-duality enables one to derive a certain fractionally linear map \( \pi_{BA} \) between their (Einstein-frame) Kasner exponents, which can be used, as above, to prove the Kasner-stability equivalence of the types IIA and IIB theories. Since type IIA is unstable, type IIB is also unstable.

At this stage, we know that M, IIA and IIB are equivalent with respect to Kasner stability, and are all unstable. It remains to tackle the type I and heterotic theories, which are equivalent because their stability conditions are algebraically mapped onto each other by the S-duality transformation \( p_{\alpha}^{I} = -p_{\alpha}^{I} \), \( p_{i}^{I} = p_{i}^{I} \). To study the Kasner-stability of the heterotic theory, we found very convenient to replace the Einstein-frame Kasner exponents \( (p_{\alpha}^{I}, p_{i}^{I}) \) by their string-frame counterparts \( (\alpha^{I}, \alpha^{I}) \). The link between the two is (in \( d + 1 \) spacetime dimensions, see, e.g. [3])

\[
p_{\varphi} = \sqrt{\frac{d - 1}{d - 1 - \sigma}}, \quad p_{i} = \frac{(d - 1) \alpha_{i} - \sigma}{d - 1 - \sigma},
\]

(8)

with \( \sigma \equiv (\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}) - 1 \) and \( i = 1, \ldots, d \). In terms of the \( \alpha^{I} \)’s the Kasner sphere \( S^{d+1} \) is simply the usual unit sphere, \( \sum_{i} (\alpha_{i})^2 = 1 \). In our case, \( d = 9 \) and one should add a label “h” to both the \( p \)’s and the \( \alpha \)’s. In terms of the string-frame exponents it is found that the h-stability conditions are equivalent to the simpler inequalities \( \alpha_{i}^{h} > 0 \) and \( \alpha_{i}^{h} + \alpha_{j}^{h} + \alpha_{k}^{h} < 1 \) (where \( i, j, k \) are all different) subjected to the constraints \( \sum (\alpha_{i}^{h})^2 = 1 \). It is easy to verify that these inequalities can never hold when the space dimension is \( d = 9 \). In that case, the closest one comes to satisfying the inequalities is the isotropic point \( \alpha_{i} = 1/3 \) for which the second inequality is saturated. This concludes our proof that the heterotic model (and therefore also the type I one) is Kasner unstable. Finally the two blocks of theories \( (M, A, B) \) and \( (I, h) \) are both Kasner unstable, though for different algebraic reasons.

\[ ^{1} \text{Note that the fact that the IIA field variables depend on one less variable than the M-ones is unimportant. What is important is the map (3) and the fact that we have taken into account in the stability criteria all possible dangerous terms in a generic solution.} \]
Our results so far show that the generic solution of the low-energy string models can never reach a monotonic Kasner-like behaviour. Following the BKL approach one can go further and study the evolution near a cosmological singularity as a sequence of Kasner-like “free flights” interrupted by “collisions” against the “potential walls” corresponding to the various stability-violating exponents $g$, $e$ or $b$. We have studied this problem and found the following universal “collision law” giving the Kasner exponents $\bar{p}^{\mu}$ of the Kasner epoch following a collision in terms of the old ones:

$$\bar{p}^{\mu} = \left(1 - 2 \frac{(w \cdot \bar{p}) (w \cdot u)}{(w \cdot w)}\right)^{-1} \left[\bar{p}^{\mu} - 2 \frac{(w \cdot \bar{p}) w^{\mu}}{(w \cdot w)}\right].$$

(9)

Here, $\bar{p}^{\mu}$ stands for $p_{x}^\mu$ and $\bar{p}^\mu = p_i$. The scalar products are computed with the metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ occurring in the quadratic form, namely, $G_{00} = 1$, $G_{0i} = 0$, $G_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - 1$, while the vector $u$ (entering (3)) has “covariant” components $u_0 = 0$, $u_i = 1$. Finally, the “contravariant” vector $w^\mu$ characterizes the “wall” responsible for the collision and is defined in such a way that the corresponding exponent $(g(p), e(p) \text{ or } b(p))$ reads

$$w(p) = w_{\mu} \bar{p}^{\mu} \equiv G_{\mu\nu} w^{\mu} \bar{p}^{\nu}. \quad \text{[E.g., for the wall associated with the electric exponent $\epsilon_{123}(p) \equiv p_1 + p_2 + p_3$, $w_\mu \equiv (w_0, w_i) = (0, 1, 1, 0)$]}

The result (9) (which is a rescaled geometrical reflection in the hyperplane $w_\mu \bar{p}^{\mu} = 0$) applies uniformly to all possible “walls”: gravitational, electric or magnetic. It generalizes particular results derived by many authors [1].

Summarizing: In all string models, the generic solution near a cosmological singularity for the massless bosonic degrees of freedom exhibits BKL-type oscillations, i.e. a (formally infinite) alternation of Kasner-epochs. The primary sources of this BKL behaviour are (i) the presence of $p$-forms in the field spectrum of the theories and, (ii) the strength of their dilaton couplings. In the absence of $p$-forms, or if the $\lambda_\mu$’s were somewhat smaller, the monotonic Kasner behaviour would be stable and generic. The general rule defining the change of Kasner exponents from one epoch to the next is given by Eq. (1), where $w$ is the “wall” (among the various gravitational, electric or magnetic ones) for which $w(p) = w_\mu \bar{p}^{\mu}$ is most negative. We anticipate that the discrete dynamics (1) will define (in all string models) a chaotic motion on the Kasner sphere. At this stage, the physical consequences of such a chaotic motion are unclear. It might constitute a problem for the pre-big-bang scenario which strongly relies on the existence, near a (future) cosmological singularity, of relatively large, quasi-uniform patches of space following a monotonic, dilaton-driven Kasner behaviour. By contrast our findings suggest that the spatial inhomogeneity continuously increases toward a singularity, as all quasi-uniform patches of space get broken up into smaller and smaller ones by the chaotic oscillatory evolution. In other words, the spacetime structure tends to develop a kind of “turbulence”.

We are aware of the limitations of our result (tree-level bosonic massless modes only) but we think that our finding suggests that the full quantum, string-theory behaviour might be at least as complicated, near a cosmological singularity, as our simplified analysis shows.
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